# Nitrate leaching in organic and conventional arable crop farms

Benoit M, Garnier J, Billen G

**UMR METIS 7619, UPMC, Paris, France** 

### Context

The Seine Bassin, with its intensive agriculture, must also provide drinking water for the 16 M inhabitants. The issue is to maintain a sustainable agriculture with low impact on the water quality (pesticide and nitrate). Organic farming (OF) has the advantage not to use pesticide, however its impact on nitrate leaching, unknown, is controversial.

### **Objective**

The goal of this study is to evaluate nitrate leaching in various commercial OF and conventional farming (CF) in different climate-soil conditions, in the Seine Bassin.

### **Results at different scales**

### **A. Farms sub-root concentrations**

A high variability of sub-root concentrations in OF and CF farms (Fig. 2) which involves practices analysis



### **B. Fields sub-root concentrations**

## An experimental network, with farmers



1. Oise Farms (2 OF + 2 CF), Water inflow : 111 mm 2. Val d'Oise Farm (1 OF), Water inflow : 34 mm 3. Seine&Marne (S&M) Farms (3 OF + 5 CF), Water inflow : 30 mm 4. Yvelines Farm (1 CF), Water inflow : 97 mm 5. Centre 4 fields (3OF + 1 CF), Water inflow : 49 mm 6. Yonne Farms (1 OF + 1 CF), Water inflow : 41 mm

**Fig.1** Location of the farms in six pedo-climatic sites (2013-14)

#### Table 1. Equipment of farms with ceramic cups

Equipment	7 OF rotations and 9 CF rotations 80 fields with 6 vertical ceramic cups (90cm) A total of ~580 ceramic cups		
Sampling	Every two weeks during 4 months (8-10 sampling dates)		
Water analysis	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ), nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> ), ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> )		

All plots are classified according to : 9 years OF rotation and typical CF crops (Fig. 3)



**Fig.3** Sub-root concentrations for OF crops (left) and CF crops (right). SD show the different fields for a same crop in the different farms and regions.

#### **OF** rotation has a lower impact on N contamination than CF rotation

#### N contamination depends on :

60

- **1. Crops and previous crops :** alfalfa < rape, barley < ... < crops after legumes\*
- 2. Soil Mineral Nitrogen (SMN) before winter
- 3. N inputs by biological N fixation (BNF)\* and fertilisation (date, type, dose)\*\*
- \* Legumes can lead to important SMN in soil, but sub-root concentrations < 40 mgN-NO<sub>3</sub>.I<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4)
- \*\* Organic fertilisers do not have the same impact : liquid manure > compost > digestate + CC (Fig. 5)

7	♦ after grain legumes	S	60 <sub>T</sub>	/
	alfalfa 2, 3 cuts exported for hay	ratior 1)	40 -	

• liquid manure or digestate

• digestate with CC

### Soil analysis

Soil organic matter (SOM), soil mineral nitrogen (SMN), humidity, pH, total nitrogen (N)

# Conclusion

N contamination on sub-root water decreases with :

- 1. Low water inflow
- **2.** Long and diversified rotations with catch-crops
- **3.** Low N inputs and good managements of legumes

### Better N management is possible in OF systems :

- Applications of organic fertiliser in spring or before catch-crops in fall
- Alfalfa cuts exported and no fertilisation after legumes

# Perspectives

- Continuing field experimentations (e.g. climatic interannual variations)
- Comparing observed data with theoric N balance (surplus)
- Providing recommandations and testing innovative practices





### N exogenous input (kgN.ha<sup>-1</sup>)

**Fig.4** Sub-root concentrations and SMN of crops after legumes with different managements

**Fig.5** Sub-root concentrations of crops (OF/CF) with organic fertilisers applied in fall.

# **C.** Sub-root concentrations and leaching at regional scale

### OF vs CF leaching are only valid in a same soil-climate conditions due to

Important variations in sub-root concentrations between sites (soils, practices, rotations, etc.) e.g. S&M : CF  $\approx$  OF whereas in Oise : CF > OF (Fig. 6a)

oot

Water inflow variations. For 2013-14 : Yvelines, Oise > Yonne, S&M, Val d'Oise (Fig. 6b)



**Fig.6** Mean sub-root concentrations (a) and leaching (b) for full OF/CF rotations in 5 regions. SD show the different farms in each region.

Many thanks to the farmers for their active participation. Thanks to Anun, Abdel, Benjamin and Gilles for their technical assistance in the lab and on the field.

